CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Boris Sereda				DEFENDANTS Mills Corporation, et al		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Bucks (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)			
				ND CONDEMNATION CASES, US NOVOLVED.	SE THE LOCATION OF THE	
• •	e, Address, and Telephone Numb ikhman & Rayz LLC, 1051 PA 19406 215-364-5030		Attorneys (If Known) Charles Jay Bogdano 42 S. 15th Street, Suit	ff, Esq., Gekoski & Bogdanoff e 1414, Phila. PA 19102 215-5	f, P.C. 363=2511	
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)	II. CITIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
J 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	J 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) Citizen of This State	PTF DEF	^	
J 2 U.S. GovernmentDefendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	3 2 3 2 Incorporated and I of Business In A	- .	
	F=		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	J 3 Foreign Nation	J 6 J 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI CONTRACT	والمستحدين ويروان والمراج والمراج والمراجع والمستحدد والمستحد والمستحدد والمستحدد والمستحدد والمستحدد	nly) RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 444 Welfare	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights	☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Health ☐ 690 Other LABOR ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
🗇 1 Original 💢 2 Re		Remanded from Appellate Court		ferred from 7 6 Multidistr er district Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON 28 U.S.C. Sections 1331 Brief description of ca	itute under which you are and 1442 iuse: by a swung padlock attached	filing (Do not cite jurisdiction	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND S	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: Tyes INo	
VIII. RELATED CASE	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE August 24, 2009		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	DRNEY OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	GE	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: Boris Sereda - 95 Lempa Road, Holland PA 18966						
Address of Defendant: See at	tached list					
Place of Accident, Incident or T	ransaction: 1455 Franklin Mills Ci	(Use	Reverse Side For onal Space)			
	tal corporate party with any parent corporation and an closure Statement Form in accordance Yes Now					
Does this case involve multidist RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	rict litigation possibilities?	Yes□	Nota			
Case Number:	Judge		Date Terminated:			
terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the sa one year previously terminated	y included in an earlier numbered su me issue of fact or grow out of the sa	Yes me transaction as a pr	No© ior suit pending or within			
action in this court?			Nou			
3. Does this case involve the var pending or within one year prev terminated action in this cour			ier numbered case			
4. Is this case a second or succe same individual?	ssive habeas corpus, social security a		ights case filed by the No.			
2. FELA	ne Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ In Other C 2. □ Airplane Persona				
 3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. □ Antitrust 5. □ Patent 		3. □ A 4. □ Marine Personal 5. □ Motor Vehicle P				

Case	2:09-cv-03864-ER Document 1 Filed 08/24/09 Page 3 of 27
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. Der Personal Injury
	(Please specify) http://distriction/ 7. Products Liability
7. 🗆 Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases	
(Please specify)	
ARBITR	ATION CERTIFICATION
(Chec	k appropriate Category)
I,	, counsel of record do hereby certify:
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3	3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages
recoverable in this civil action case exc	eed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;
☐ Relief other than monetary damages is sou	ght.
DATE:	<u> </u>
Attorney-a	t-Law Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial b	y jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
·-·-··	
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case	is not related to any case now pending or within one year
previously terminated action in this court	
except as noted above.	
A Significant and the second s	
DATE: STATE	
Charles J	ay Bogdanoff, Esquire :-Law Attorney I.D.# 02657
Attorney-a	t-Law Attorney I.D.# 02657
CIV. 609 (6/08)	

John Doe 1243 Nottingham Way, Apt WA Trenton, NJ 08609

List of Defendants According to the Plaintiff

Mills Corporation 5425 Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 500 Chevy Chase, MD 20815

Mainstreet Retail LP c/o Mills Corporation 5425 Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 500 Chevy Chase, MD 20815

Mills Management LLC c/o Mills Corporation 5425 Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 500 Chevy Chase, MD 20815

Franklin Mill Associate, LP c/o SPG 225 West Washington Street Indianapolis, IN 46204

Management Association, LP c/o SPG
225 West Washington Street
Indianapolis, IN 46204

Mills, LP c/o SPG 225 West Washington Street Indianapolis, IN 46204

Miami Balloon 1243 Nottingham Way, Apt WA Trenton, NJ 08609

Ayman A. Mahgoub d/b/a Miami Balloon 1243 Nottingham Way, Apt WA Trenton, NJ 08609

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN OF PENNSYLVANIA

BORIS SEREDA

V.

MILLS CORPORATION

and

FRANKLIN MILL ASSOCIATE, LP

and

MILLS MANAGEMENT, LLC

and

MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION, LP

and

MILLS, LP

and

MAINSTREET RETAIL LIMITED

PARTNERSHIP

and

MIAMI BALLOON

and

AYMAN A. MAHGOUB d/b/a MIAMI

BALLOON

and

JOHN DOE

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 09-

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Defendants, The Mills Corporation (misnamed as Mills Corporation), Mills Management LLC, Management Associates Limited Partnership (misnamed as Management Association, LP) and The Mills Limited Partnership (misnamed as Mills, LP) hereby respectfully give notice of the removal of the case of Boris Sereda v. Mills Corporation, et al, which was filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, docketed as June Term 2009, No. 1546, to the United States District Court of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and submit in support thereof the following:

- 1. On or about June 10, 2009, there was commenced in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, a certain civil action entitled Boris Sereda v. Mills Corporation, et al, by the filing of a Complaint, a copy of which is attached hereto marked as Exhibit A, which is incorporated herein by reference without adopting the contents thereof. The civil action was assigned the docket designation June Term, 2009, No. 1546 by the Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania.
- 2. The Plaintiff's Complaint asks that the Court enter judgment in favor of the Plaintiff "in excess of \$50,000.00, plus court costs, interest and attorneys' fees, as well as any and all relief that the Honorable Court deems just and appropriate."
- 3. On August 19, 2009, Michael S. Bogdanoff, Esquire, an attorney for the Removing Defendants, wrote a letter to counsel for the Plaintiff, Eric Rayz, Esquire, a copy of which is attached hereto marked as Exhibit B, which documents a conversation which took place between Eric Rayz and Michael Bogdanoff.
 - 4. During the conversation between Eric Rayz and Michael S. Bogdanoff, Mr. Rayz

stated that he had not yet spoken with his client to formulate a settlement demand, but that the demand would exceed Two Hundred Thousand (\$200,000.00) Dollars.

- 5. At all time relevant and material to this action and this Notice of Removal, including the time of the accrual of the Plaintiffs' causes of action, if any, the commencement of the Plaintiff's suit in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the date of the filing of this Notice of Removal, there was and is complete diversity of citizenship between the Plaintiff and the Defendants as follows:
 - a. The Plaintiff was and is a citizen and resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- b. Defendant, The Mills Corporation, which was misnamed by the Plaintiff as Mills Corporation, was a corporation which was organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and whose principal place of business was in the State of Maryland. Papers to dissolve the Mills Corporation were filed with the State of Delaware. To the extent that The Mills Corporation still exists and/or is organized, it exists and/or is organized under the laws of the State of Delaware and its principal place of business is located in the State of Maryland.
- c. Defendant Mills Management, LLC is a limited liability company which was and is organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware whose principal place of business was and is located in the State of Indiana.
- d. Defendant Management Associates Limited Partnership, which was misnamed by the Plaintiff as Management Association, LP., is a limited partnership which was and is organized under the laws of the State of Delaware whose principal place of business was and is located in the State of Indiana.
- e. Defendant, The Mills Limited Partnership, misnamed by the Plaintiff as Mills, LP, was and is a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware whose

principal place of business was and is located in the State of Indiana.

- f. Defendant, Franklin Mills Associates Limited Partnership, misnamed by the Plaintiff as Franklin Mill Associate LP, was and is a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of the District of Columbia, whose principal place of business was and is located in the State of Indiana.
- g. Defendant Mainstreet Retail Limited Partnership, was and is a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware whose principal place of business was and is located in the State of Indiana.
- h. Defendant Ayman Mahgoub d/b/a Miami Balloon was and is a citizen and resident of the State of New Jersey.
- i. At all times relevant and material hereto, Ayman Mahgoub, a citizen and resident of the State of New Jersey, engaged in business as Miami Balloon, whose principal place of business was and is located at 1243 Nottingham Way, Trenton, New Jersey.
- j. At all times relevant and material hereto, "Miami Balloon" was a fictitious name of the business of Ayman Mahgoub.
- 6. For purposes of removal, 28 U.S.C. §1441(a) provides that "the citizenship of defendants sued under fictitious names shall be disregarded."
- 7. The Plaintiff's Complaint identifies a Defendant as "John Doe" and states that John Doe as an adult individual who can be served at 1243 Nottingham Way, Apartment WA, Trenton, New Jersey 08609.
 - 8. No affidavit of service has been filed with respect to "John Doe".
 - 9. It is alleged upon information and belief that "John Doe" has not been served.
 - 10. It is alleged upon information and belief that Miami Balloon and Ayman A.

Mahgoub d/b/a Miami Balloon have not been served.

- 11. The above described action is a civil action over which this court has original jurisdiction under the provisions of 28 U.S. §1332, and is one which now may be removed to this Court by the Removing Defendants, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §1441, in that the amount in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and is between citizens of different states.
- 12. This Notice of Removal is being filed within thirty (30) days of the receipt by the parties filing this Notice of Removal of the initial pleading setting forth a claim for relief upon which such action or proceeding is based and/or within thirty (30) days after receipt by the parties filing this Notice of Removal of an amended pleading, motion, order or other paper from which it may be first ascertained that the case is one which is or has become removable.
- 13. This Honorable Court has jurisdiction over this matter by virtue of 28 U.S.C. §1332 and 28 §1441.
- 14. Promptly after filing of this Notice of Removal, the removing party will give written notice of the removal of this case to all adverse parties and will file a copy of this Notice of Removal with the Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

15. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446, there is filed herewith and attached hereto a true and correct copy of all process, pleadings and orders served upon the Removing Defendants in this action.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles Jay Bogdanoff, Esquire

Attorney for Defendants The Mills

Corporation (misnamed as Mills

Corporation), Mills Management LLC,

Management Associates Limited Partnership (misnamed as Management Association, LP)

and The Mills Limited Partnership

(misnamed as Mills, LP)

<u>VERIFICATION</u>

I, Charles Jay Bogdanoff, Esquire, do hereby verify that I am the attorney for Defendants The Mills Corporation (misnamed as Mills Corporation), Mills Management LLC, Management Associates Limited Partnership (misnamed as Management Association, LP) and The Mills Limited Partnership (misnamed as Mills, LP), that I am authorized to make this Verification on their behalf and that the averments set forth in the Defendants' Notice of Removal are true and correct to the best of my information, knowledge and belief.

I understand that this Verification is made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.A. §4904 relating to unsworn falsifications to authorities.

DATE

Charles Jay Bogdanoff, Esquire

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Charles Jay Bogdanoff, Esquire, do hereby certify that I did serve the Notice of Removal of Defendants The Mills Corporation (misnamed as Mills Corporation), Mills Management LLC, Management Associates Limited Partnership (misnamed as Management Association, LP) and The Mills Limited Partnership (misnamed as Mills, LP) by mailing a true and correct copy thereof by first class mail, postage prepaid, on August 24, 2009 addressed to counsel of record as follows:

Eric Rayz, Esquire Kalikhman & Rayz, LLC 1051 County Line Road, Suite 102 Huntingdon Valley, PA 19006 Attorney for the Plaintiff Ramon Townsend, Esquire
Law Offices of Jeffrey H. Eiseman
1515 Market Street
Suite 1802
Philadelphia, PA 19102
Attorney for Defendants Mainstreet Retail
Limited Partnership and Franklin Mill
Associate, LP

John Baginski, Esquire
Gregory P. Voci, Esquire
Baginski, Mezzanotte, Hasson & Rubinate
Suite 500 Public Ledger Building
150 South Independence Mall West
Philadelphia, PA 19106
Attorney for Defendants Miami Balloon and
Ayman A. Mahgoub, d/b/a Miami Balloon

Respectfully submitted,

Charles Lay Bogdanoff, Esquire
Attorney for Defendants The Mills
Corporation (misnamed as Mills
Corporation), Mills Management LLC,

Management Associates Limited Partnership (misnamed as Management Association, LP) and The Mills Limited Partnership (misnamed as Mills, LP)

EXHIBITA

KALIKHMAN & RAYZ, LLC

Eric Rayz, Esquire Identification No. 87976 1051 County Line Road, Suite 102 Huntingdon Valley, PA 19006

Phone: (215) 364-5030 Fax: (215) 364-5029

E-mail: erayz@kalraylaw.com

ATTORNEY(S) FOR PLAINTIFF(S)

BORIS SEREDA 95 Lempa Road Holland, PA 18966

Plaintiff(s)

٧.

MILLS CORPORATION 1300 Wilson Blvd, Suite 400 Arlington, VA 22209

FRANKLIN MILL ASSOCIATE, LP C/O THE MILLS CORPORATION 1300 Wilson Blvd, Suite 400 Arlington, VA 22209

MILLS MANAGEMENT, LLC C/O THE MILL CORPORATION 1300 Wilson Blvd, Suite 400 Arlington, VA 22209

MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION, LP C/O THE MILLS CORPORATION 1300 Wilson Blvd, Suite 400 Arlington, VA 22209

MILLS, LP C/O THE MILLS CORPORATION 1300 Wilson Blvd Arlington, VA 22209

MAINSTREET RETAIL LIMITED PARTNERSHIP C/O THE MILLS CORPORATION 1300 Wilson Blvd, Suite 400 Arlington, VA 22209

MIAMI BALLOON 1243 Nottingham Way, Apt. WA Trenton, NJ 08609 COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

CIVIL ACTION

AYMAN A. MAHGOUB D/B/A MIAMI BALLOON 1243 Nottingham Way, Apt. WA Trenton, NJ 08609

JOHN DOE 1243 Nottingham Way, Apt. WA Trenton, NJ 08609

Defendant(s)

NOTICE TO DEFEND

You have been sued in court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this complaint and notice are served, by entering a written appearance personally or by attorney and filing in writing with the court you defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the court without further notice for any money claimed in the complaint or for any other claim or relief requested by the plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

PHILADELPHIA BAR ASSOCIATION
LAWYER REFERRAL & INFORMATION
SERVICE
ONE READING CENTER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107
TELEPHONE: 215-238-1701

AVISO

Le han demandado a usted en la corte. Si usted quiere defenderse de estas de estas demandas expuestas an las paginas signientes, usted tiene veinte (20) dias de plazo al partir de la fecha de la demanda y ia notificacion. Hace falta asentar una comparencia escrita o en persona o con un abogado y entregar a la corte en forma escrita sus defensas o sus objeciones a las demandas en contra de su persona. Sea avisado que si usted no se defiende, le corte tomara medidas y puede continuar la demanda en contra suya sin previo aviso o notificacion. Ademas, la corte puede decidir a favor del demandante y requiere que usted cumpla con todas las provisiones de esta demanda. Usted puede perder dinero o sus propiedades u ostros derechos importantes para usted.

LLEVE ESTA DEMANDA A UN ABOGADO INMEDIATAMENTE, SI NO TIENE ABOGADO O SI NO TIENE EL DINERO SUFICIENTE DE PAGAR TAL SERVICIO. VAYA EN PERSONA O LLAME POR TELEFONO A LA OFICINA CUYA DIRECCION SE ENCUENTRA ESCRITA ABAJO PARA AVERIGUAR DONDE SE PUEDE CONSEGUIR ASISTENCIA LEGAL.

SERVICIO DE REFERENCIA LEGAL ONE READING CENTER FILADELFIA, PA 19107 TELEFONO: 215-238-1701

KALIKHMAN & RAYZ, LLC

ATTORNEY(S) FOR PLAINTIFF(S)

Eric Rayz, Esquire Identification No. 87976 1051 County Line Road, Suite 102 Huntingdon Valley, PA 19006

Phone: (215) 364-5030 Fax: (215) 364-5029

E-mail: erayz@kalraylaw.com

BORIS SEREDA	COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
	PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

Plaintiff(s)

CIVIL ACTION

MILLS CORPORATION, et al.

٧.

Defendant(s)

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This action is being brought against Defendant(s) for personal injuries sustained by Plaintiff, BORIS SEREDA (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), at Defendant(s) place of business.

II. PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff is an adult individual citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, currently residing at 95 Lempa Road, Philadelphia, PA 18966.
- 3. Defendant, MILLS CORPORATION (hereinafter, individually, "MC"), is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Virginia, operating a commercial enterprise within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, which maintains a registered address within the Commonwealth, and can be served at its headquarters, located at 1300 Wilson Blvd, Suite 400, Arlington, VA 22209.
- 4. Defendant, FRANKLIN MILL ASSOCIATE, LP C/O THE MILLS CORPORATION (hereinafter, individually, "FMA"), is a limited partnership, organized under the laws of the State of Virginia, operating a commercial enterprise within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, which maintains a registered address within the Commonwealth, and can be served at its

headquarters, located at 1300 Wilson Blvd, Suite 400, Arlington, VA 22209.

- 5. Defendant, MILLS MANAGEMENT, LLC C/O THE MILL CORPORATION (hereinafter, individually, "MM"), is a limited liability company, organized under the laws of the State of Virginia, operating a commercial enterprise within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, which maintains a registered address within the Commonwealth, and can be served at its headquarters, located at 1300 Wilson Blvd, Suite 400, Arlington, VA 22209.
- 6. Defendant, MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION, LP C/O THE MILLS CORPORATION (hereinafter, individually, "MA"), is a limited partnership, organized under the laws of the State of Virginia, operating a commercial enterprise within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, which maintains a registered address within the Commonwealth, and can be served at its headquarters, located at 1300 Wilson Blvd, Suite 400, Arlington, VA 22209.
- 7. Defendant, MILLS, LP C/O THE MILLS CORPORATION (hereinafter, individually, "MILLS"), is a limited partnership, organized under the laws of the State of Virginia, operating a commercial enterprise within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, which maintains a registered address within the Commonwealth, and can be served at its headquarters, located at 1300 Wilson Blvd, Suite 400, Arlington, VA 22209.
- 8. Defendant, MAINSTREET RETAIL LIMITED PARTNERSHIP C/O THE MILLS CORPORATION (hereinafter, individually, "MRLP"), is a limited partnership, organized under the laws of the State of Virginia, operating a commercial enterprise within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, which maintains a registered address within the Commonwealth, and can be served at its headquarters, located at 1300 Wilson Blvd, Suite 400, Arlington, VA 22209.
- 9. Defendant, MIAMI BALLOON (hereinafter "MB"), is a company licensed to do business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and can be served at its principle place of business at 1243 Nottingham Way, Apartment WA, Trenton, New Jersey 08609.
- 10. Defendant, AYMAN A. MAHGOUB D/B/A MIAMI BALLOON (hereinafter "AAM"), is an adult, individual citizen of the State of New Jersey, who is involved in a commercial

enterprise in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania under the name "Miami Balloon" and can be served at 1243 Nottingham Way, Apartment WA, Trenton, New Jersey 08609.

11. Defendant, JOHN DOE, is an adult salesperson of Miami Balloon believed to be an individual citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, who can be served at 1243 Nottingham Way, Apartment WA, Trenton, New Jersey 08609.

III. VENUE

12. The venue for this matter is proper, in that the cause of action arose within the confines of Philadelphia County.

IV. <u>CAUSE OF ACTION</u>

- Defendant(s), at the time of the event set forth in this Complaint, owned and/or possessed and/or managed and/or maintained and/or controlled the premises located at 1455 Franklin Mills Circle, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19154 (hereinafter "Premises").
- 14. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant(s) had a duty to maintain the Premises in a reasonably safe condition and to warn business invitees of any concealed perils which are or should be known to the Defendant(s).
- 15. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant(s) conducted continuous and substantial business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 16. At all times relevant and material hereto, Plaintiff was a business invitee of Defendant(s) on or about the Premises, and was in no manner responsible for any act or failure to act contributing to the accident hereinafter described.
- 17. At all times relevant and material hereto, Plaintiff was lawfully and properly exercising due care for his own safety.
- 18. The events upon which this Complaint is based occurred in the evening hours on or about August 6, 2007.
 - 19. Plaintiff was lawfully on the Defendant(s)' Premises as a business invitee for the

purpose of making a purchase.

- 20. While looking for merchandise, Plaintiff was hit right above his left eye with a padlock that was attached to a portable cart, which was violently swung at the Plaintiff by the Defendant(s), John Doe.
- 21. As a direct and proximate result of this impact, Plaintiff sustained severe, disabling, painful and permanent injuries, as set forth below, and incurred medical bills and loss of earnings and earning capacity.

COUNT I - NEGLIGENCE

- 22. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all facts and allegations of this Complaint by reference as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 23. The serious injuries sustained by the Plaintiff were directly and proximately caused by the negligence of the Defendant(s), by and through their separate and respective agents, servants, workmen, and/or employees, were careless and negligent in general and in the following particulars:
 - a. In breaching their duties under Restatement of the Law of Torts (Second);
 - b. In failing to hire competent employees;
 - c. In failing to warn plaintiff, a business invitee, of the peculiar, dangerous and unsafe conditions then and there existing upon the premises;
 - d. In failing to adopt, enact, employ and enforce proper and adequate safety programs, precautions, procedures, measures and plans;
 - e. In failing to perform and furnish services in conformity with the standard of care;
 - f. In exposing plaintiff, a business invitee, to peculiar and unreasonable danger;
 - g. In failing to maintain the area in a safe condition for customers patronizing the store;

- h. In failing to properly and adequately train and supervise employees to prevent dangerous conditions from existing on the premises;
- i. In misleading the public into believing that the premises were safe;
- j. In striking Plaintiff in the head and/or face;
- k. In causing an unreasonably dangerous condition on the premises, which the Plaintiff could not have been expected to discover by himself;
- I. In creating a trap or nuisance;
- m. In failing to inspect the Premises for any dangerous or potentially dangerous conditions;
- n. In permitting the existence of a dangerous conditions, which created a reasonably foreseeable risk of type of injury which occurred;
- o. In failing to have a proper and adequate policy and procedure concerning the closing of shops, stores, and portable carts on Defendant(s) Premises.
- 24. As a result of the aforesaid acts, Plaintiff(s) sustained serious injuries, all of which are or may be a permanent nature, which include but are not limited to:
 - a. Severe sprains and strains of and injury to the bones, joints, muscles, ligaments, tendons, discs, nerves and tissues of the neck and upper back;
 - b. Severe periorbital hematoma;
 - c. Post concussion syndrome (manifested by headaches and dizziness);
 - d. Nervousness, nausea, insomnia, blurred vision, emotional tension and anxiety; and
 - e. Aggravation of pre-existing disease process and/or prior health deficiencies, such as arthritis and perhaps other dormant or controlled disease processes.

- 25. As a result of these injuries, the Plaintiff suffered the following damages:
 - a. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendant(s), Plaintiff has endured and will continue to endure great pain, suffering, inconvenience, embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional and psychological trauma;
 - b. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendant(s), Plaintiff has been and will be required to expend large sums of money for medical treatment and care, hospitalization, medical supplies, rehabilitation and therapeutic treatment, and other attendant services;
 - As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendant(s), Plaintiff has been unable to engage in his usual and daily duties and occupations, and he will continue to suffer same for an indefinite period of time, perhaps permanently;
 - d. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendant(s), Plaintiff has missed time from work and suffered a loss of earnings which will continue into the future;
 - e. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendant(s), Plaintiff has suffered a permanent and diminished loss in her present and future earning capacity;
 - f. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendant(s), Plaintiff's general health, strength, and vitality have been impaired; and
 - g. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendant(s), Plaintiff has suffered a diminution in his ability to enjoy life and life's pleasures, all of which may continue indefinitely into the future.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) respectfully request that this Honorable Court enter judgment in their favor in excess of \$50,000.00, plus court costs, interest, and attorneys' fees, as well as any and all relief that the Honorable Court deems just and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

KALIKHMAN & RAYZ, LLC

Eric Rayz, Esquire Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s)

1051 County Line Road, Unit 102 Huntingdon Valley, PA 19006

Phone: (215) 364-5030 Fax: (215) 364-5029

E-mail: erayz@kalraylaw.com

Date: June 10, 2009

Case 2:09-cv-03864-ER Document 1 Filed 08/24/09 Page 25

and/or Defendant, a corporate officer, suthorized agent, and/or representative for the Plaintiff and/or Defendant in the captioned matter; (2) he/she is sequalisted with the facts ext forth in the foregoing pleading; (3) same are true and correct to the best of his/her knowledge, information and belief; and (4) this statement is made subject to the panalties, relating to unaworn falsifications to authorities.

The state of the s

EXHIBITB

301TE 1414 30BH (801) BUILLING 48 SOUTH 15TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102-2289 TELEPHONE (215) 508-2512 JAM (215) 568-8888 THE CORPORATE CENTER AT SAGEMORE TEN THOUSAND SAGEMORE DRIVE SUITE 10204 MARLTON, HEW JERSEY 08088 (856) 988-9900

In Reply Please Refer to File No.:

CHARLES JAT BOGDANOF

MICHAEL S. BOGDANCEER

GART BEITE BELDSAUM

NICHCLAS D. MARTHULE FREDERICH W. BROWN*

MICHAEL GONZALET

www.gekoskibogdanoff.con

ALSO ADMITTED IN NEW JERSEY
- ALSO ADMITTED IN CONNECTICUT
DRESPONSIBLE NEW JERSEY ATTORNEY

D20612

August 19, 2009

Via Facsimile Transmission (215) 364-5029

Eric Rayz, Esquire Kalikhman & Rayz, LLC 1051 County Line Rd. Ste. 102 Huntingdon Valley, PA 19006

RE: Sereda v. Wills Corporation, et al

Court of Common Pleas, Philadelphia County,

June Term, 2009, No. 001546

Dear Mr. Rayz:

Please allow this correspondence to confirm our conversation of August 13, 2009 in regard to the above-referenced matter. As you know, I am representing some of the Mills entities. You and I discussed the allegations in the complaint, insurance issues, your desire to obtain any video footage of the incident and the settlement value of the case. I mentioned that I would contact my client to discuss disclosure of the video footage. I have since made that contact and told you that, pursuant to your discovery request, we will provide you with a CD of the footage (subject to a confidentiality agreement). You indicated that you were fine with such an Agreement.

As for the value of the case, I asked you whether a settlement demand had been made previously. You indicated that you had not spoken with your client to formulate a settlement demand, but you also advised that the demand would exceed \$200,000. I will provide this figure to my clients.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Very_truly yours

-Michael S. Bogdanof

MSB:kk Enclosure